

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,283	06/08/2006	Etsuto Nakatsu	OKUDP0170US	4910	
51921 MARK D. SAI	7590 04/30/201 RALINO (PAN)	EXA	EXAMINER		
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP			DAZENSE	DAZENSKI, MARC A	
1621 EUCLID 19TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CLEVELAND		2621			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/30/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/596,283	NAKATSU, ETSUTO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARC DAZENSKI	2621	

		MARC DAZENSKI	2621				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE	REPLY FILED 21 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LLOWANCE.				
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) b)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
nave under set fo may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the srth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). (CE OF APPEA)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	NDMENTS						
3. 🔼	The proposed amendment(s) flad after a final rejection, to (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further corollon They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better the corollone of the corollo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);				
5. 🗀	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.				
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1] The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	21. See attached Notice of Non-Con		,			
7. 🛭	non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) alloylected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1:17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. [The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/MARC DAZENSKI/

Examiner, Art Unit 2621

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations to the independent claims (e.g., the "second data stream having a lower playback quality than the first data stream" and "without deleting the first data stream from the first type of storage medium") require further search and consideration.